IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH AT HYDERABAD

HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

Writ Petition No.13737 of 2006

Dated: July 11, 2006

Between:

V. Sreenivasa Murthy, S/o. Reddy Prasad,
Private Service, R/o.5-114-6, Kothapeta,
Rayachoty, Kadapa.

… Petitioner

And

The Principal, JNTU College of Engineering,
Kukatpally, Hyderabad, and another.

… Respondents

Order:

This writ petition has been filed seeking a Writ of Mandamus to declare the action of the respondents in not allowing the petitioner for second year session of M. Tech (Thermal) through Sequential Summer School (SSS) for sessions 2006 as arbitrary and illegal.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the Sri K. Rathangapani Reddy, learned Standing Counsel, appearing for

the respondents.

3. The case of the petitioner, an Engineering graduate, is that the second respondent issued notification for admissions into M. Tech (Thermal) course in the sequential summer school in Session 2005. It is stated that the petitioner paid the requisite fee and was admitted into Thermal branch of M. Tech course, but due to ill health, he could not attend the examination for April/May 2005 session. The grievance of the petitioner is that though he made representations to the respondents, seeking admission for session 2006, no action whatsoever has been taken on his request.

4. On instructions, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents submits that though the petitioner got himself admitted in session 2005, he did not attend any theory class and computer laboratory class during April and July, 2005 and did not sit for internal examinations. He has also placed the academic regulations framed by the university in this regard. Particular reference has been made to regulations 4.6 and 4.7. On a perusal of the said regulations, it is clear that a candidate should have minimum percentage of attendance and should have also passed minimum number of subjects in the first year, to be allowed for the second year.

5. It is to be seen that the petitioner did not attend sufficient number of classes and has also not appeared for the examinations of session 2005. But, as per the academic regulations framed by the university, if a candidate seeks admission into session 2006, he/she should have necessarily put in requisite percentage of attendance and should have passed requisite number of subjects in session 2005. In that view of the matter, I do not find any illegality in the action of the respondents in not permitting the petitioner for the second year session of M.Tech.

6. The writ petition is devoid of merits and is accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs.

_____

JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY.

July 11, 2006
MRR